



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,653	02/19/2002	Ikuhito Onodera	111984	2584	
25944 7	7590 11/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2653		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/076,653	ONODERA, IKUHITO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher R. Magee	2653		

	Christopher R. Magee	2653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods:	e of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	r(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropris	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed to	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a briof	will not be entered be	200100				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•	2 20.011,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		maliant Amandmant /	DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment (	P10L-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).4.	$\Omega$ , $\Omega$				
13. Other	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Manage 4	for all				
11/10/2005		Service de	SCHED				
1 Allen		GEORGE J. LET PRIMARY EXA					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Part of Pa	per No. 11102005				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As shown in Figure 7 of Kato '846, the inside metal layer 116g (i.e., element leading wire) is electrically conductive contact with substrate 101g. The inside metal layer 116g is electrically conductive contact with the lower magnetic pole 110, which is part of the thin film magnetic head assembly.